

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/639,947	08/12/2003	Paul Brown	08436.0073USC1	6987
23552	7590 12/14/2005		EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD PC			REDDING, DAVID A	
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WIII VI VEZ II O	55, 1		1744	
			DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/639,947	BROWN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		David A. Redding	1744			
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress		
A SHORTENED STATUTOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, F - Extensions of time may be available un after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extend	ROM THE MAILING DA der the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 date of this communication. the maximum statutory period we and period for reply will, by statute, an three months after the mailing	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH( ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	I. tely filed the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·		
Status						
,	2b)⊠ This in condition for allowar	ctober 2005. action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45		e merits is		
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>13-18 and 20-</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are a 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>20-31</u> is/are re 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are o 8) ☐ Claim(s) are sub	s) <u>13-18</u> is/are withdraw llowed. jected. bjected to.	n from consideration.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is obje 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request Replacement drawing she	12 August 2003 is/are: that any objection to the det(s) including the correction	r. a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj aminer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/463,148.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)			(DTO 440)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-8</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drafts</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/12/03</u>.</li> </ol>	wing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/639,947

Art Unit: 1744

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of group II, claims 20-31 in the reply filed on 10-24-05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that applicant disagrees with the basis. This is not found persuasive because no reasons for objecting to the restriction is given.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims s 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 23-26 mainly constitute process limitations. It is indefinite as to what structural features are defined by these limitations.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 20 thru 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the

Application/Control Number: 10/639,947 Page 3

Art Unit: 1744

invention. The claims essentially defines a composting tower which is constructed such that when is contains biodegradable materials at an infeed moisture content of between 50-70% the aeration rate provided by naturally induced upward draft due to the energy retained in the composting biomass is stoichiometrically matched to the biological oxygen demand. However, the specification is silent as to how one skilled in the art would design and build such a tower that would inherently produce the function claimed.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 27, 28, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USP 4,062,770 (Kneer).

The Kneer patent discloses an organic waste composting vessel (1) covered by heat insulation (2), with an air plenum (17) located in the bottom of the vessel, a grate (10) located below the plenum and a screw for removal of compost. The vessel (1) includes an introducing port (4) for continuously charging the vessel (see col.6, lines 19-67). The compost charged into the vessel has a moisture content between 40-70% and the composting process is controlled to maintain the compost temperature to 70 degrees C (col.7, lines 42-61).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Redding whose telephone number is 571-272-1276. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 6:00 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 571-272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David A Redding Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

Art Offic 174

**DAR**